

High performance energy efficient heat exchangers and their rigorous modeling through process simulation Course

# Modeling of Kobe's High Performance heat exchangers and Microchannel reactors



### 19 June, 2012 K. Noishiki, Development group KOBE STEEL, LTD

The information contained herein are the property of Kobe Steel, Ltd. They shall not be disclosed ,reproduced or used without the prior written consent of Kobe Steel.



#### Contents

\* Kobe Steel, Ltd Background to technology

(ALEX: Brazed Aluminum Plate fin Heat Exchanger)

- \* Microchannel Heat Exchanger (PCHE: Printed Circuit Heat Exchanger)
- \* Comparison between ALEX and PCHE for PlateFin
- \* Design and rating software for heat exchanger
- \* Link between Aspen Hysys and PlateFin
- \* Performance check of PCHE (After cooler for compressor)
- \* Microchannel Reactor
- \* Conceptual design Large capacity Microchannel Reactor
- \* Testing results for extraction of SMCR

(SMCR: Stacked Multi-Channel Reactor)

# KOBELCO Kobe Steel, Ltd Background to technology



Over 40 years experience as major manufacturer of compact heat exchanger (ALEX=Brazed Aluminum Plate-fin type).

Extensive range of technologies to design and fabricate heat exchangers of this type.

Has now developed the micro or milli channel heat exchanger (like PCHE (Printed Circuit Heat Exchanger) and MCR (Microchannel Reactor) based on this technology.



# Printed Circuit Heat Exchanger (PCHE)

#### Micro channel Heat Exchanger (PCHE: Printed Circuit Heat Exchanger)

#### <Application>

- a) Gas cooler for offshore use
- b) Special use for high pressure, high temperature or large temperature difference

#### <Features>

- a) can withstand high pressure (over 50MPaG)
- b) High thermal performance (approximately 1/10<sup>th</sup> of Shell &Tube type)



on ASME code with stamp (2010E+2011a).



### Design and rating software for heat exchanger

<Design Software>

KSL's own software and technique

<Rating software(Aspen PlateFin)>:

- Stream by stream simulation and thermosyphon
- Detailed layer by layer simulation (including stacking arrangement)
- Crossflow exchanger simulation (single or multi-pass)

Note- Fin performance or channel data were measured by KSL. and the data are linked to Aspen PlateFin.

## **Comparison between ALEX and PCHE for PlateFin**

All design parameters for ALEX and PCHE are basically the same.

Hence heat transfer and pressure drop performance will be calculated using the same design tool as for ALEX.



#### Link between Aspen Hysys and PlateFin



Operation condition for Multi-Stage Compressor is simulated by HYSYS. The some operation condition are checked by the link between HYSYS and Aspen PlateFin.



#### Performance check of PCHE (After cooler for Compressor)





Shell&Tube  $\Phi 650 \times 4200$ TL-TL (5500kg)



Design Pressure: 8MPaG (Hydrostatic test: 12MPaG) Code: Japanese High Pressure Gas Safety Law (with stamp)

KSL tests confirm the mechanical and thermal performance of this PCHE to be superior to S&T.

\*Performance calculation & Rating : KSL's own software and technique (PCHE:S&T) Volume: 1:10, Weight: 1:8



# Microchannel reactor (Stacked Multi-channel reactor)



#### **Microchannel Reactor**

(SMCR: Stacked Multi-Channel Reactor)

**SMCR** is the stacked multi-channel reactor for the large capacity use, it is very easy to built the numbering-up(multi-channel of one unit) by using the channel arrangement of third dimension .

→ Improve batch operation to continuous operation for large capacity



- a) Extraction
- b) Polymerization (Highly exothermic reaction)
- c) Reaction (liquid & liquid, liquid & gas and others)
- $\Rightarrow$  It will be very important to estimate thermal performance of each reaction.

Bonding

potion

Photo of bonding area

# **Conceptual design - Large capacity Microchannel Reactor**





#### **Testing results for extraction use of SMCR**



a) No deterioration of extraction ratio by using SMCRb) Residence time of SMCR can reduce 1/100 of Mixer type

 $\Rightarrow$  KSL will use HYSYS and Aspen PlateFin to simulate the thermal performance and mass-transfer of SMCR by same concept of PCHE.



#### Conclusion

- Based on BAHX technologies, Kobe steel is now developing Microchannel heat exchangers and reactors for some applications such as cooler for compressor, extraction and reaction use.
- The heat transfer is most important issue for design of these equipment.
- The channel shape information of PCHE can be changed to the fin shape by using same hydraulic diameter and free flow area.
- Kobe steel presented the design method for the Microchannel equipment by using PlateFin.
- The process data such as some stage compressor or extraction process from HYSYS are linked to Aspen PlateFin.
- We reported the design result by PlateFin and the operation test results of this compressor cooler.
- And also, we introduced Large capacity Microchannel reactor and the importance of HYSYS and Aspen PlateFin to simulate the thermal and masstransfer performance.



# **For reference**



#### **Bonding strength check**



Bursting test of sample core by diffusion bonding

Bonding quality was confirmed by bursting test.

 → Bursting pressure was over 100MPaG. (Channel size: 2mmx1mm, pitch 2.3mm, material: stainless steel)

The information contained herein are the property of Kobe Steel, Ltd. They shall not be disclosed ,reproduced or used without the prior written consent of Kobe Steel.

Fabrication flow of PCHE (Printed circuit Heat Exchanger)



# **Bonding method**

200um

Bonding method and material is selected based on the channel size, corrosion resistance, required strength and other relevant factors.

Brazing (Aluminum and others)

Diffusion bonding (Stainless Steel)

# **New Requirements in ASME for diffusion bonding**

There were the special requirement for Diffusion bonding in Code Case(2437-1, 2621-1). But these requirements are limited to the special application.

Based on the customers requests, the general requirements are specified in ASME 2011a as follows, ASME Sec. VIII Div.1 "Diffusion bonding" at Mandatory Appendix-42 Sec. IX "Diffusion Welding" in QW-185

#### **Requirement for production tests (only key issues):**

aa) Test block shall be a minimum of a 200mm x200mmx 50 interface planes bb) free from cracks and shall show no incomplete bond or porosity on or adjacent to the bond lines

cc) After the bonding, mechanical properties (TS,YS Hardness) shall match the ASME requirements (same as base metal).

Further more, M.A.W.P. (Maximum Allowable Working Pressure) for the device will be decided by the results of the bursting test in UG-101.



#### **Busting test Results (sample)**



MAWP (Maximum Working Pressure) will be decided based on bursting test (ASME UG-101).



#### **Busting test Results (sample)**



MAWP (Maximum Working Pressure) will be decided based on bursting test (ASME UG-101).

# Bursting test results based on ASME

MANUFACTURER'S REPORT OF PROOF TEST TO ESTABLISH MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE WORKING
PRESSURE OF DIFFUSION BONDING CORE
Report No. DBHE01

KOBELCO

Reference DWG No Y	2025	Date	December 26, 2011				
D.B. Proces	Γ			BURSTING T	EST		
gau		Test	Bursting test pressure or	Tensile Stre	ngth	Character	Pressure gauge
(Hydro)		Specimen No.	at which the test was stopped	Specified minimum	Average actual	& Location	a Recorder used to the test
Bur		BT-2	99.76 MPa	485 MPa	536.5 MPa	Ridge	NKIS1015 NKIS1034 NKIH18
The detailed de		Therefore t	he maximum allowable wo	orking pressure of	the diffusion bo	nding core	12ST24040
Test Specimen No		shall be con	mputed as follow in accord	ance with ASME (	CODE SECT. VI	I UG101.	
BT-2 Therefore the i			$P = \frac{B}{4} \times \frac{S}{S_{\mu}}$	$\mu \mathbf{E}_{\mu}$ avg			
shan be compo		wher	e B = bursting test pr	ressure, or hydrost	tatic test pressur	e at which t	the test was
where B			stopped, MPa	Idad joint if used			
ES			$S_{\mu}$ = specified minim	um tensile streng	th at room temp	erature MP	ล
3			$S_{\mu}^{\mu}$ avg = average actual	al tensile strength	of test specimen	s at room te	mperature, MPa.
We certify th were prepare 2010 edition			$P = \frac{B}{4} \times \frac{S_{\mu}E}{S_{\mu}av}$	$g = \frac{99.76}{4} \times \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{485}{536.5} = 2$	22.5 MPa	
Witnessed	n.						
		AI	Manager Quality Assurance Takasago Equipment Plant				
			Kobe Steel,Ltd				

#### PQR for diffusion bonding based on ASME

# After the bonding, we confirmed that mechanical properties (TS,YS Hardness) matched the ASME requirements (same as base metal).

Specimen	Width/ Diameter (שמ)	Thickness (mm)	Area (mm²)	Ultimate Load (N)	UTS (MPa)	Elongation (%)	Failure Location
PTPT-6 No.1 (V)	$\Phi$ 6.38	N/A	31.97	15734	492	69.7	А
PTPT-6 No.2 (V)	Φ 6.38	N/A	31.97	15742	492	74.0	A
PTPT-6 No.3 (V)	Φ 6.39	N/A	32.07	15776	492	72.8	А
PTPT-6 No.1 (H)	Φ 6.38	N/A	31.97	17224	539	68.1	A
PTPT-6 No.2 (H)	$\Phi 6.37$	N/A	31.87	17248	541	68.1	А
PTPT-6 No.3 (H)	$\Phi 6.38$	N/A	31.97	17196	538	67.3	A ·
rdness Tests (App. Type	42 6.2 (a))	Results		Tyr	De		Results
Rockwell B PTPT-6	No.1 (V)	64.0		Rockwell B PT	PT-6 No.1 (I	-I)	62.0
lockwell B PTPT-6	No.2 (V)	64.2		Rockwell B PT	PT-6 No.2 (I	Ð	63.4

Rockwell B PTPT-6 No.3 (H)

#### Bend Tests (App.42 6.2 (a))

Rockwell B PTPT-6 No.3 (V)

KOBELCO

VESSEL CODE.		ASM
Manufacturer Metal Technology Co. Ltd. Hit	meji Plant	710111
repared by technical section engineer	7. Komiya	Date/0/15/11
Certified by technical section manager	J. Jamamoto	_ Date _ 10/15-/11
Reviewed by Quality Control section manager	Fr. Kimura	Date/15/11

64.9

We hereby certify that the statements in this record are corr accordance with the requirements of Section IX and Sec. VI	rect and that the test coupons were pa II Div.1 App.42 of the ASME BOILER.	repared, diff AND PRESS	usion bonded, and tested i URE VESSEL CODE.
Manufacturer Metal Technology Co. Ltd. Hin	eji Plant		ASME
Prepared by technical section engineer	T. Komiya	Date _	10/15/11
Certified by technical section manager	y. Jamamoto	Date _	10/15/11
Reviewed by Quality Control section manager	F. la mura	Date _	10/15/11

63.3



#### Demo heat exchanger based on ASME code



#### Demo heat exchanger (size 150Wx150Hx300mm)